Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Filed 04/11/25 Page 1 of 7 1 [Submitting Counsel on Signature Page] 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case Nos.: 4:23-cv-05448-YGR People of the State of California, et al., 4:22-md-03047-YGR 12 MDL No. 3047 ν. 13 Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S 14 Payments, Inc., Meta Platforms Technologies, MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME LLC. 15 16 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT 17 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION 18 19 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 4:23-cv-05448 20 21 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3, the State Attorneys General Plaintiffs (State AGs) respectfully 22 request a seven-day extension of time, to and including April 18, 2025, for their deadline to move 23 to compel a response to an outstanding Rule 45 subpoena issued to Mindshare Media, Ltd. 24 (Mindshare). There is good cause for this request, as set forth below. 25 The State AGs served a Rule 45 subpoena on third-party company Mindshare on March 11, 26 2025. To serve the subpoena, the State AGs retained a process server who provided an affidavit of 27 28

Document 1860

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

service attesting that Mindshare was served at the address of its corporate registered agent, Corporate Creations Network, Inc. The State AGs did not receive a timely response to this subpoena, and so contacted Mindshare on April 8, 2025, to inquire as to the status of a response. The State AGs received a response from Mindshare's counsel the same day, and the parties were able to discuss the parameters of the subpoena and the potential timing of a production. Mindshare's counsel represented, however, that Mindshare had no record of receiving the subpoena, and indicated that although objections would be forthcoming, Mindshare's counsel agreed to discuss the production of certain documents with her client.

Today, on April 11, the State AGs received correspondence from Mindshare's counsel stating that Mindshare believes it was never properly served with the subpoena and thus is under no obligation to respond. The State AGs require additional time to investigate this assertion, including contacting their process server, to assess whether Mindshare's objection is appropriate and to evaluate next steps.

A seven-day extension is reasonable and will not prejudice any party or the Court. The State AGs properly served Meta with notice of the subpoena on March 10, 2025, and Meta did not object. There is no trial currently set, and a brief extension of this deadline will not affect the other deadlines in this case.

To the contrary, the State AGs would be prejudiced if the extension were not granted. The State AGs believe that Mindshare—which the State AGs understand formerly worked with Meta to place Meta's advertisements and branding into the public sphere—possesses information that is highly relevant to the claims in this case, including data on the scope, frequency, and targeting of its advertising. This information is directly relevant to both the State AGs' deception claims and potential relief. The State AGs reasonably believed that the subpoena was properly served within the fact discovery period, and only learned today that Mindshare believes it was not properly served with the subpoena.

26

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

The State AGs are filing this motion in lieu of a stipulation because: (1) Mindshare's counsel has not received confirmation of Mindshare's position on the requested extension from her client and so was unable to agree to a stipulation; (2) Mindshare's counsel is not admitted to practice in the Northern District of California; and (3) Mindshare takes the position that, because it believes it was not properly served with the subpoena, it is not proper for Mindshare to file a stipulation with this Court. Due to timing of this issue and the end of fact discovery, the State AGs are filing the motion today, in an abundance of caution.

The State AGs thus respectfully seek a brief extension, to and including April 18, 2025, to preserve their ability to move to enforce the subpoena or to take other steps to obtain the information they are seeking as appropriate.

12 Dated: April 11, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

- 4 -

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

I	Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR	Filed 04/11/25 Page 5 of 7
1	RUSSELL COLEMAN	MATTHEW J. PLATKIN
2	Attorney General	Attorney General
	Commonwealth of Kentucky	State of New Jersey
3	/s/ Philip Heleringer	By: /s/ Thomas Huynh
4	J. Christian Lewis (KY Bar No. 87109),	Kashif T. Chand (NJ Bar No. 016752008),
5	pro hac vice Philip Heleringer (KY Bar No. 96748),	pro hac vice Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General
	pro hac vice	Thomas Huynh (NJ Bar No. 200942017),
6	Zachary Richards (KY Bar No. 99209),	Pro hac vice
7	pro hac vice Daniel I. Keiser (KY Bar No. 100264),	Assistant Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General
8	pro hac vice	Verna J. Pradaxay (NJ Bar No. 335822021),
	Matthew Cocanougher (KY Bar No. 94292), pro	hac Pro hac vice
9	vice Com: W. Thomason (V.V. Bor No. 02722)	Mandy K. Wang (NJ Bar No. 373452021), Pro hac vice
10	Gary W. Thompson (KY Bar No. 93733), pro hac vice	Deputy Attorneys General
11	Assistant Attorneys General	New Jersey Office of the Attorney General,
	1024 Capital Center Drive, Ste. 200	Division of Law
12	Frankfort, KY 40601 Christian.Lewis@ky.gov	124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor Newark, NJ 07101
13	Philip.Heleringer@ky.gov	Tel: (973) 648-2052
14	Zach.Richards@ky.gov	Kashif.Chand@law.njoag.gov
	Daniel.Keiser@ky.gov	Thomas.Huynh@law.njoag.gov
15	Matthew.Cocanougher@ky.gov Gary.Thompson@ky.gov	Verna.Pradaxay@law.njoag.gov Mandy.Wang@law.njoag.gov
16	Phone: (502) 696-5300	, 50 , 50
17	Fax: (502) 564-2698	Attorneys for Plaintiffs New Jersey Attorney General and the New Jersey Division of
	Attorneys for the Commonwealth of Kentucky	Consumer Affairs, Matthew J. Platkin,
18	Thorneys for the Commonwealth of Kentucky	Attorney General for the State of New Jersey, and Cari Fais, Director of the New Jersey
19		Division of Consumer Affairs
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S	

- 5 -

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

- 7 -

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME